ORIGINAL ARTICLE





A Value-Based Medicine cost-utility analysis of genetic testing for neovascular macular degeneration

Gary C. Brown^{1,2,3*}, Melissa M. Brown^{1,2,4}, Heidi B. Lieske¹, Philip A. Lieske¹ and Kathryn S. Brown¹

Abstract

Background: There is a dearth of patient, preference-based cost-effectiveness analyses evaluating genetic testing for neovascular age-related macular degeneration (NVAMD).

Methods: A Value-Based Medicine, 12-year, combined-eye model, cost-utility analysis evaluated genetic testing of Category 3 AMD patients at age 65 for progression to NVAMD. The benefit of genetic testing was predicated upon the fact that early-treatment ranibizumab therapy (baseline vision 20/40-20/80) for NVAMD confers greater patient value than late-treatment (baseline vision $\leq 20/160$). Published genetic data and MARINA Study ranibizumab therapy data were utilized in the analysis. Patient value (quality-of-life gain) and financial value (2012 US real dollar) outcomes were discounted at 3 % annually.

Results: Genetic testing-enabled, early-treatment ranibizumab therapy per patient conferred mean $20/40^{-1}$ vision, a 0.845 QALY gain and 14.1 % quality-of-life gain over sham therapy. Late-treatment ranibizumab therapy conferred mean $20/160^{+2}$ vision, a 0.250 QALY gain and 4.2 % quality-of-life gain over sham therapy. The gain from early-treatment over late-treatment was 0.595 QALY (10.0 % quality-of-life gain). The per-patient cost for genetic testing/closer monitoring was \$2205 per screened person, \$2.082 billion for the 944,000 estimated new Category 3 AMD patients annually. Genetic testing/monitoring costs per early-treatment patient totaled \$66,180. Costs per early-treatment patient included: genetic testing costs: \$66,180 + direct non-ophthalmic medical costs: $-$40,914 + caregiver costs: -$172,443 + employment costs: -$14,098 = a net societal cost saving of $160,582 per early treatment patient. When genetic screening facilitated an incremental 12,965 (8.0 %) of the 161,754, new annual NVAMD patients aged <math>\geq 65$ in the US to undergo early-treatment ranibizumab therapy, each additional patient treated accrued an overall, net financial gain for society of \$160,582. Genetic screening was cost-effective, using World Health Organization criteria, when it enabled an incremental 4.1 % (6634) of 161,754 annual NVAMD patients ≥ 65 years to receive early-treatment ranibizumab therapy.

Conclusions: Genetic screening-enabled, early-treatment ranibizumab therapy for NVAMD is cost-effective if it enables an incremental 4.1 % of the annual US cohort of new-onset NVAMD patients \geq 65 to undergo early-treatment with ranibizumab.

Keywords: Genetic testing, Neovascular macular degeneration, Comparative effectiveness, Cost-effectiveness

Background

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is the leading cause of blindness in the \geq 60-year-old population in

*Correspondence: gary0514@gmail.com;

gbrown@valuebasedmedicine.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



the US [1]. Eye Diseases Prevalence Research Group [2] data suggest advanced AMD (central geographic atrophy and/or neovascular AMD) affected 1.87 million people in 2012 [3]. Among advanced AMD patients, 70 % had neovascular AMD (NVAMD) in one or both eyes [2]. Approximately 171,350 patients developed NVAMD annually [2, 3]. Among US citizens reaching age 65 annually, 944,400 have Category 3 drusen [2, 3].

© 2015 Brown et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹ Center for Value-Based Medicine[®], 6010 West Mill Road, Flourtown, PA 19031. USA

Several models predict the conversion of atrophic AMD to NVAMD, each using the Age Related Eye Diseases Study (AREDS) classification of AMD [4-8]. The more complex model in AREDS Report No. 17 [6], evaluated the eyes of 3212 participants, utilizing drusen severity and pigmentary abnormalities at baseline. The authors proposed a 9-step severity scale that combined a 6-step drusen area scale with a 5-step pigmentary abnormality scale. The 5-year risk of progression to advanced AMD varied from <1 % in Steps 1 and 2 to 43.5 % in Step 9 [6]. Nonetheless, the scale more accurately predicts central geographic atrophy (43.5 % in Step 9) than NVAMD (4.8 % in Step 9, but 21.1 % in the less severe Step 8) [6]. A simplified severity scale in AREDS Report No. 18 used large drusen and pigmentary changes in a 0-4 scale and demonstrated, when both were present bilaterally, the 5-year incidence of advanced AMD in at least one eye was 47.3 % [7]. While a top score of 4 identified 67.8 % of 5-year progressors to advanced AMD, it identified only 36.5 % progressing to NVAMD [7].

Blue Mountains Eye Study investigators [8], using an AREDS simplified severity scale, found a generalized estimating equation model showed 61.5 % of patients with bilateral drusen \geq 125 µm and bilateral retinal pigment epithelial changes progressed to advanced AMD over 10 years. Assuming 70 % of those advanced AMD cases were neovascular [2], approximately 43 % of NVAMD cases would be identified. This converts to (57.0 % × 161,754 cases=) 92,200 new NVAMD patients aged \geq 65 in the US not identified by phenotypic markers annually [1–3].

Multiple advances in AMD genetics have been made over the last decade [9-17]. A report by Seddon et al. [12], created models predicting advanced AMD development. They approach include age, gender, education, smoking, body mass index, single nucleotide polymorphisms in the CFH, ARMS2/HTRA1, C3, C2, and CFB genes, as well as important markers discovered through two large genome-wide association studies [4, 13-16]. With the inclusion of cholesterol metabolic markers CETP, LIPC and ABCA1, the progression prediction to advanced disease within 10 years achieved unparalleled accuracy (C = 0.90) [4]. Using this model for a dichotomous risk score ("risk" vs "non risk"), Yu et al. [4], showed the 5-year progression of Category 3 AMD cases to advanced AMD could be predicted with both sensitivity and specificity over 80 %; sensitivity over 10 years was \geq 90 %. There was a 10-year 20 % progression to neovascular AMD (Table 1) using phenotypic and genotypic markers, but they identified 90 % of people progressing to neovascular AMD [4].

Table 1 Progression for 2560 AREDS AMD (Category 1–3 at Baseline) patients over 10.3 years (Yu et al. [4])

AMD category	n	Progressed to next stage	No progression
1	713	494 (69 %)	219 (31 %)
2	1190	376 (32 %)	814 (68 %)
3	1527	578 (8 %)	949 (62 %)
3–4	280	280 (18 %)	NA
3–5	298	298 (20 %)	NA

Includes patients treated and not treated with AREDS supplements [5]

 $\ensuremath{\textit{AREDS}}$ Age-Related Eye Disease Study, $\ensuremath{\textit{AMD}}$ age-related macular degeneration, $\ensuremath{\textit{NA}}$ not applicable

Neovascular AMD therapy

Intravitreal ranibizumab therapy for NVAMD is among the greatest medical advances over the decade [18–24]. Earlier therapy has a better visual prognosis than later therapy [20]. Thus, it is hoped greater risk awareness will allow patients to seek earlier care.

Considering the importance of earlier ranibizumab therapy, the authors undertook a Value-Based Medicine[®] (VBM) [22–24], societal, cost-utility analysis to assess the patient preference-based, comparative effectiveness and cost-effectiveness (cost-utility) of genetic testing for NVAMD.

Methods

Features associated with genetic testing for NVAMD, and the economic modeling assumptions used are listed in Table 2. Comparative effectiveness quantified the incremental *patient value gain* (improvement in quality-of-life and/or length-of-life), though length-of-life change was not included, since better vision has not been well shown to lengthen life. Outcomes were measured in: (1) percent value gain, and (2) quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) gain [18, 20, 22–25]. QALY gain was calculated by multiplying: (utility gain) × (years of interventional benefit). Financial metrics include: (1) cost-utility ratio, \$/QALY, or dollars expended per QALY gained, associated with genetic testing-enabled, early-treatment ranibizumab for NVAMD, and (2) societal costs.

AMD demographics

The AREDS Research Group [5–7] defined four categories of AMD and showed oral supplements decrease the progression of Category 3 AMD (macular drusen \geq 125 µm) to NVAMD, though not from Category 3 to central geographic atrophy. Yu and colleagues [4] refined the AREDS four-category model to a five-category model, separating AREDS [5–7] advanced AMD cases into central geographic atrophy (Category 4) and NVAMD (Category 5) in their genotypic/phenotypic study of AMD. Approximately 1.54 million people had NVAMD in the

Table 2 Genetic testing for neovascular AMD. Cost-utility analysis model parameters and assumptions

Phenotypic Features

Identification of 5-year progression to advanced AMD (age-related macular degeneration) using the AREDS (Age-Related Eye Disease Study) Simplified Scale for AMD [7] Identified 67.8 % of progressors to atrophic AMD, but only 36.5 % of those progressing to neovascular AMD

Genotypic Features (from Yu et al. [4])

SNPs in the following genes

Gene [4]	Hazard ratio [4] for neovascular AMD	p-value	Notes
CFH—3 SNP	1.37	2.2×10^{-4}	Higher risk
ARMS2/HTRA1—2 SNPs	1.27	5.3×10^{-3}	Higher risk
C2	0.60	0.14	Uncertain status
C3	1.25	0.02	Higher risk
CFB	0.57*	0.02	Lower risk
LIPC	0.57*	0.04	Lower risk
CFI	0.87	0.10	Uncertain status
TIMP3	0.79	0.30	Uncertain status
CETP	1.17	0.06	Uncertain status
ABCA1	0.97	0.79	Uncertain status
COLSA1	1.2	0.21	Uncertain status
APOE—2 SNPs	0.97	0.85	Uncertain status

Genetic profiles

High-risk = homozygous on all genetic loci for the alleles that increase the risk of advanced AMD

Medium-risk = heterozygous on genetic loci for the alleles that increase/decrease the risk of advanced AMD

Low-risk = homozygous on all genetic loci for alleles that decrease the risk of advanced AMD

As per Yu et al. [4], all genes listed above are assumed to be tested for. This conservative assumption likely biases against the financial value gain and cost-effectiveness by increasing what will likely be decreased genetic costs in the future

Progression to neovascular AMD

Incremental, 10-year progression rate to neovascular AMD for Category 3 AMD patients with: a past smoking history, BMI of 25–29, normal fellow eye and greater than a high school education [4]

Genetic profile	10-year progression	Percent of progressors detected
High-risk	26%	90%
Medium-risk	3%	9%
Low-risk	<1%	1%

Among people with Category 3 AMD, 20 % will progress to neovascular AMD over 10 years [4]. (SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism, * = decreased chance of progression to neovascular AMD, BMI = basal metabolic index)

Neovascular AMD therapy

Clinical features, MARINA study [18, 20, 23]

All participants had minimally classic or occult, subfoveal choroidal neovascularization

Baseline vision in the affected eye: 20/40-20/320

Choroidal neovascular lesions <12 disc areas at baseline

Mean baseline vision: 20/80-1 in the ranibizumab treatment and sham therapy cohorts

Mean baseline age: 75 years

Participants randomized 1:1:1 to: (1) 0.5 mg intravitreal ranibizumab dose (n = 240), (2) 0.3 mg intravitreal ranibizumab dose (n = 238) or (3) sham injection cohort (n = 238)

The 0.5 mg ranibizumab dose was more effective than the 0.3 mg dose, and was thus the dose approved by the Food & Drug Administration and used in the current analysis [18]

Treatment cohort (0.5 mg ranibizumab) mean vision: MARINA Study for years 1 and 2, then LOCF (last observation carried forward) of clinical trial data for years 3–12 [23]

Eligible, MARINA sham cohort patients were treated with ranibizumab following the end of the randomized portion of the trial after 24 months. Thus, sham treatment, control cohort data utilized mean vision in the MARINA Study [18] for years 1 and 2, and a Lineweaver–Burke plot metaanalysis control cohort from six randomized, neovascular AMD clinical trials for years 3–12 of sham therapy [25]

Adverse event disutility QALYs, a total of 0.045 QALY, were used to calculate adverse event QALYs subtracted from total patient value gain

The average participant received 22 × 0.05 cc intravitreal injections, given approximately monthly, over 2 years

Mean life expectancy: 12 years for the control and ranibizumab study cohorts [49]

Table 2 continued

Genetic profile	10-year progression	Percent of progressors detected
Value-Based Medicine [©] , base case, cos	t-utility analysis parameters for the AMD genetic s	screening, cost-utility analysis [18, 20, 22, 23]
The patient value and financial value detection and earlier ranibizumab	gains are those associated with genetic screening therapy for neovascular AMD	g for neovascular AMD making possible the incremental earlier
Model timeline: 12 years $=$ mean life	expectancy for average neovascular AMD patient	t [18]
Baseline vision in the early-treatment	t, ranibizumab therapy cohort was 20/40–20/80. F	inal vision outcome was 20/40 ⁻¹ [20]
20/40-1 vision in each eye equates w	vith a utility of 0.789 [30, 39]	
Baseline vision in the late-treatment,	ranibizumab therapy cohort was 20/160-20/320.	Final vision outcome was 20/160 ⁺² .[20]
20/160 ⁺² vision in each eye equates	with a utility of 0.0.658 [29, 30]	
Loss of vision in a first eye results in a	utility loss of 0.0398 [35]	
The <i>incremental</i> cost-utility analysis p ranibizumab therapy (baseline trea vision of 20/160–20/320) [20]	ver patient utilizes the incremental patient and inc tment vision 20/40–20/80) for neovascular AMD v	remental financial value gains associated with early-treatment versus late-treatment ranibizumab therapy (baseline treatment
The costs of genetic screening were a sus late-treatment ranibizumab the	compared with the patient value gains and cost s erapy) made possible by genetic screening	avings conferred by early-treatment ranibizumab therapy (ver-
The direct ophthalmic medical treatr cohorts, and therefore were not co	nent costs were the same in the early-treatment, i nsidered incremental costs	ranibizumab therapy and late-treatment, ranibizumab therapy
Only Category 3 AMD eyes in patient	s who were 65 years of age were tested with gen	etic screening
Category 3 AMD cases (drusen ≥125	μ m) annually in the United States in a 65-year-old	l cohort = 944,400 [1-3]
22.5% of baseline Category 3 cases h	ave a high-risk genetic profile to develop neovasc	cular AMD [4]
The phenotypic appearance of Categ cular AMD [5]. Since the use of AREI [5]. Genetic screening was not pres	ory 3 AMD determines the use of AREDS supplem DS supplements in Categories 1 and 2 AMD has no umed to be of benefit to detect whether to use Af	ent therapy to decrease the incidence of progression to neovas- ot yet been shown to reduce progression to neovascular AMD REDS supplements at an earlier stage than Category 3 AMD
Genetic testing of Category 4 AMD p	atients for the development of more severe atrop	hic changes was not presumed to be of benefit
Genetic testing was not presumed to	be of benefit if one eye was already affected by r	neovascular AMD or advanced atrophic AMD
Patients underwent genetic screenin	g at age 65, since only 5.6 % of neovascular AMD	develops in patients under the age of 65 years [18]
Baseline time: First presentation for n therapy for neovascular AMD [18–2	eovascular AMD occurs at a mean age of 75 years 20, 23, 24, 50, 51]	s, as per a combination of multiple clinical trials dealing with
The outcomes included the QALY (que expended per QALY gained (\$/QAL	uality-adjusted life-year) gain, percent patient valu Y) [22]	e (quality-of-life) gain, and the CUR (cost-utility ratio), or dollars
All eyes with neovascular AMD were	presumed treated with ranibizumab, including ca	ses presenting with bilateral disease
Time tradeoff utilities were derived fr	om a database of over 1100 ophthalmic patients	with respective levels of vision loss [22–24, 30–38]
Cost perspectives: societal and 3rd pa	arty insurer	
The societal cost perspective included late-treatment ranibizumab therapy examination and annual optical coh trauma costs, decreased Skilled Nur- costs (caregiver) saved [41] and (4) in	I those saved by the better mean vision outcome a <i>i</i> . They include: (1) direct ophthalmic medical costs herence tomography costs, (2) direct non-ophthaln sing Facility costs, decreased nursing costs and oth ndirect medical (employment) costs saved [3, 42]	ssociated with early-treatment ranibizumab therapy versus = AMD genetic testing costs + incremental annual ophthalmic nic medical costs saved = decreased depression costs, decreased er, as yet unidentified, medical costs [40]. (3) direct non-medical
The 3rd party insurer cost perspective	e includes: (1) direct ophthalmic medical costs exp	pended and (2) direct non-ophthalmic medical costs saved
Cost basis: 2012, average, national, M	edicare Fee Schedul	
Net present value (NPV) analysis disco	punted patient value outcomes and costs at a 3 $\%$	annual rate. All costs were converted to 2012 US real dollars [22]
A combined-eye model, a weighted late-treatment case [23, 24]	average of first-eye and second-eye models, was	utilized to calculate QALY gain per early-treatment case over
Taking into account the annual conve	ersion rate, the QALY gain and financial value gain a	accrual rate of 1st eyes with NVAMD was 85.3 % that of 2nd eyes
Second eyes in the per patient, early	treatment benefit from ranibizumab were assume	ed to have the same visual outcome as treated first eyes
For the overall Category 3 cohort und (Percent of first eyes with presentir of genetic testing with phenotypic (78.0 % × 62.2 % × 90 % =) 43.7 %	dergoing genetic testing, the early-treatment rani 1g vision \leq 20/160, or 78.0 %) × (Percent of second features for detecting 10-year conversion to NVA 5 × (per patient value and financial value outcome	bizumab QALY gain and costs per person were multiplied by: d eyes with presenting vision $\leq 20/160 = 62.2 \text{ %}$ × (Sensitivity MD = 90 %). Thus, overall Category 3 cohort results average es)
Conversion rates of second eyes to n	eovaoscular AMD with Markov modeling demons	strated the patient value (QALY gain)

It was assumed that the majority of patients converted to neovascular in one eye first and thus treated with ranibizumab initially in this first eye, though a small number of cases might have present with bilateral neovascular AMD. This assumption is conservative and biases against the analysis by decreasing the overall patient and financial gains

AMD age-related macular degeneration, NVAMD neovascular age related macular degeneration, QALY quality-adjusted life-year, CUR cost-utility ratio, MARINA Minimally classic/occult trial of the Anti-VEGF antibody Ranibizumab In the treatment of Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration, SNP single nucleotide polymorphism

US in 2012 [2, 3]. Central geographic atrophy (AREDS Category 4) in at least one eye was present in 1.24 million, and 8.34 million had drusen \geq 125 µm (AREDS Category 3). The 944,000 people aged 65 years with Category 3 drusen (\geq 125 µm) annually were those who were theoretically screened in our cost-utility model [2, 3].

Fifteen-year, incidence data from the Beaver Dam Study [26] suggest approximately 171,350 new cases of NVAMD develop annually in the US. Among these, 5.6 % in the Minimally classic/occult trial of the Anti-VEGF antibody Ranibizumab In the treatment of Neovascular AMD (MARINA) Study [18, 20] presented before age 65. We excluded that percentage from our analysis since our model assumed genetic testing at age 65, leaving 161,754 annual new cases.

Yu et al. [4], noted 20 % of Category 3 patients progressed to NVAMD (Category 5 AMD) over 10 years (Table 1). They also found 22.5 % (343/1527) of Category 3 patients had a high-risk genetic profile (homozygous for genetic loci on relevant alleles). Among progressors to NVAMD, 90 % had a high-risk genetic profile [4]. Thus, genetic testing for Category 3 AMD patients identified 90 % of progressors to NVAMD, 47 % higher than the 43.0 % identified over 10 years in the Blue Mountains Study [8].

Utility analysis

The quality-of-life associated with AMD has been quantified using time tradeoff utility analysis [27-37]. Utility anchors are 1.00 (normal bilateral vision permanently) and 0.00 (death). Vision utilities correlate most highly with acuity in the better-seeing eye, rather than the underlying disease [28]. As vision in the better-seeing eye decreases, the associated utility decreases [27-35]. Vision of no light perception bilaterally has an associated utility of 0.26 [30].

Ophthalmic utilities are valid [32], reliable [33], and negligibly affected by systemic comorbidities [34]. The Wills Eye Institute Institutional Review Board approved utility acquisition.

Ophthalmologists underestimated the quality-of-life associated AMD levels by 96–750 % compared to AMD patients, with community utility estimates even more disparate [31]. Thus, VBM cost-utility analyses use patient utilities [22]. Utilities herein were derived from a >1100 direct interview, vision utility database from the Center for Value-Based Medicine[®] [28–37].

Value-Based Medicine[®]

Value-Based Medicine[®] (VBM) integrates the highest level, evidence-based, clinical trial data with standardized inputs, including: (1) time tradeoff utilities, (2) patient utility respondents, (3) a national Medicare Fee Schedule,

and (4) societal and 3rd party insurer cost perspectives [22–24]. VBM has been used extensively in ophthalmology, especially for AMD interventions [22–24, 36, 37].

Originated at the Center for Value-Based Medicine[®] and based upon primary, ophthalmic patient data, the *first-eye model* assumes vision loss occurs in one eye, while the fellow eye has good vision [22–24, 36]. In this instance, full patient value gain is not accrued until the fellow eye also develops NVAMD. Utility data from Center for Value-Based Medicine files demonstrate a mean utility difference of 0.0398 between unilateral good vision and 20/40–20/80 vision in the second eye, versus unilateral good vision and $\leq 20/160$ vision in the second eye. This utility gain was weighted to appropriate first-eye model instances herein.

The second-eye model assumes first-eye vision has been lost and the second eye is affected [22–24, 36]. Thus, greater patient value gain occurs with ranibizumab therapy. The combined-eye model used here integrates weighted first-eye model and second-eye models [22, 24]. Extrapolation of data from Barbazetto and colleagues [39] with Markov modeling (TreeAgePro for Healthcare 2012, Williamstown, MA, USA) showed 82 % of patients with unilateral NVAMD in the MARINA/ANCHOR trials developed bilateral NVAMD within 5 years, rising to 96 % by 12 years, the model timeline (Table 3).

Patient value gain

Shah and DelPriore [25] modeled the natural course of untreated NVAMD using control cohorts from six randomized, NVAMD trials. In a meta-analysis using Lineweaver–Burke plots, they demonstrated mean vision loss to 20/640 over 8–9 years, after which vision stabilized. Increasing time since NVAMD highly correlated with increasing vision loss.

A double-blind, randomized, clinical trial, MARINA participants had 20/80 baseline vision in both ranibizumab-treatment and sham-treatment cohorts. The 24-month, mean, ranibizumab-treatment cohort vision was 20/63, while mean sham-treatment vision was $20/160^{-2}$ [18, 20].

Shah and DelPriore data [25] were employed to model MARINA 25–144 month, non-randomized sham results since many sham-treatment patients were allocated to ranibizumab therapy after month 24. Treatment cohort data for months 25–144 were modeled in a LOCF (last observation carried forward) fashion. Twelve years was selected as the model length since this was the average life expectancy of the average NVAMD patient.

An analysis of baseline vision in 50 consecutive Pennsylvania/New Jersey/Delaware patients presenting with first-eye NVAMD in the vitreoretinal practice of author GCB since 2010 was undertaken. The mean, baseline,

Table 3 Fellow eye conversion to neovascular AMD in the MARINA/ANCHOR trials, Barbazetto et al. [39]

By end of year	A	B = 46.4 % + (A × 53.5 %)
	Cumulative, incremental, conversion rate of the 53.6 % unilateral, neovascular AMD cases at baseline (%)	Bilateral involvement (%)
Baseline	0.0	46.4
Year 1	21.2	57.7
Year 2	38.0	66.8
Year 3	49.8	73.1
Year 4	59.5	78.3
Year 5	67.2	82.4
Year 6	73.4	85.7
Year 7	78.5	88.5
Year 8	82.6	90.6
Year 9	85.9	92.4
Year 10	88.6	93.9
Year 11	90.7	95.0
Year 12	92.5	96.0
Final	92.5	96.0

Baseline, year 1 and year 2 prevalences are based upon primary data [39], while years 3–12 are based upon the average incidence of conversion to neovascular during years 1 and 2, using a last observation carried forward (LOCF) methodology with Markov modeling

AMD age-related macular degeneration

first-eye vision was 20/182, while that in the fellow eye was 20/47. Overall, 78 % (39/50) presented with vision \leq 20/200 in the first eye. Only 18 % (9/50) had first-eye vision \geq 20/80 vision at presentation (Table 4).

An analysis of 98 consecutive patients presenting with second-eye NVAMD revealed a mean vision of 20/94. Overall, 62.2 % had vision $\leq 20/160$. The vision was $\geq 20/80$ in 37 % of eyes (Table 4).

Critical to our analysis, the excellent report by Boyer et al. [20] demonstrated NVAMD early-treatment eyes (baseline vision 20/40-20/80) had better long-term vision (mean $20/40^{-1}$) than late-treatment eyes (baseline vision

 \leq 20/160 and mean long-term vision of 20/160⁺²) [20]. Total patient value gains (Table 4), to account for those eyes presenting with \leq 20/160 vision and other parameters, had an overall multiplier of 78 % (1st eyes presenting with vision \leq 20/160) × 62.2 % (2nd eyes presenting with \leq 20/160) × 85.7 % (combined-eye multiplier to account for NVAMD conversion to both eyes) × 90 % (genetic testing sensitivity) = 37.4 %. Adverse events disutilities were subtracted in early-treatment and late-treatment cohorts for months 1–144 [23].

Costs

The mean, incremental, 12-year, direct, ophthalmic medical costs included genetic testing/monitoring. Ranibizumab therapy costs were excluded since they were assumed similar for early-treatment and late-treatment cohorts, though differences were analyzed in the sensitivity analysis.

Based upon work by Yu et al. [4], genetic testing costs are shown in Table 5. Compounded from \$1461 at the time of genetic testing (age 65) to base-case age 75 at the initiation of ranibizumab therapy, they totaled \$1906. A \$299 cost for an extra, annual, ophthalmic examination and optical coherence tomogram (three/year rather than two/year) was included in the genetic costs for the 22.5 % [4] of genetic-screened high-risk patients progressing to NVAMD. The total cost for genetic testing/monitoring of Stage 3 AMD patients was \$2205 per capita.

The base-case scenario shows that 30.3 Category 3 AMD patients required screening/monitoring to facilitate one early-treatment. The total cost of screening/ monitoring for each early-treatment patient was therefore \$66,873 ($30.3 \times 2205).

Twelve-year negative costs accrued against genetic testing/monitoring costs [4, 20, 39–41]. Costs saved by earlytreatment, vs. late-treatment (Table 6), are addressed below [39–41].

Javitt and colleagues [39] demonstrated increased direct, non-ophthalmic, medical costs for depression, trauma, Skilled Nursing Facilities, nursing homes and unidentified entities associated with vision loss (Table 6).

 Table 4 Category 3 AMD cases undergoing genetic testing: baseline vision in neovascular AMD eyes in clinical practice integrated with MARINA trial presenting percentages

Model	n	% of baseline patients [18, 20] ^a	Mean vision	% with initial vision 20/40–20/80	% with initial vision ≤20/160
A. 1st eye	50	53.6	20/182	18	78.0
B. 2nd eye	98	46.4	20/84	37	62.2
Both eyes (A \times B)	148	100.0	20/136	6.7	48.5
C. Sensitivity of genetic testing	148	90	NA	$A \times B \times C = 6.0 \%$	$A \times B \times C = 43.7 \%$

AMD age-related macular degeneration, NA not applicable

^a MARINA (Minimally classic/occult trial of the Anti-VEGF antibody Ranibizumab In the treatment of Neovascular AMD) clinical trial [18, 20]

Table 5 Genetic testing costs for genes as per Yu et al. [4]

CPT code	Explanation	Multiplier	NLA	Total NLA
83891	DNA Isolation	1	\$5.7	\$5.7
83900	Amplification of patient nucleic acid, multiplex, first two nucleic sequences	1	\$47.8	\$47.8
83901	Amplification of patient nucleic acid, multiplex, each additional acid sequence	10	\$23.7	\$237
83892	Digestion of amplified DNA with restriction endonuclease enzyme, each	2	\$5.7	\$11.4
83914	Mutation identification by enzymatic ligation or primer extension, single segment, each segment [e.g. oligonucleotide ligation assay (OLA), single base chain extension (SBCE), or allele-specific primer extension (ASPE)]	15	\$23.7	\$356
83912	Interpretation and report	1	\$5.7	\$5.7
83896	Nucleic acid probe, each	15	\$5.7	\$85
83903	Molecular diagnostics; mutation scanning by physical properties, single segment, each	15	\$23.7	\$356
83908	Molecular diagnostics; signal amplification of patient nucleic acid, each nucleic acid sequence	15	\$23.7	\$356
Cost per pa	tient tested			\$1461
Adjusted fo	r a genetic testing age of 65 years and ranibizumab. Total treatment age of 75 years (comp	ounded at 3 % ar	nnually)	\$1906
Including t	he \$299 incremental yearly ophthalmic examination and optical coherence. Total tomogra	m in the 20 % of _l	patients with a high-	\$2205

CPT Current Procedural Terminology, published by the American Medical Association, NLA National Limitation Amount, all cost in 2012 US nominal dollars

This 12-year cost gained by improving vision per early-treatment patient is (-\$40,914) (Table 6).

Schmier and associates [40] reported increasing caregiver costs associated with decreasing levels of vision. Early-treatment ranibizumab therapy resulted in a 12-year, (-\$172,443) caregiver cost saving vs. late-treatment therapy (Table 6).

Vision loss decreases employment by 45.6 % and hourly wage loss by 32.5 % referent to age-matched normals, resulting in 36.7 % of normal earnings (Table 6) [41]. Integrating age-related, US employment levels, early-treatment ranibizumab therapy accrued a 12-year employment cost gain of (-\$14,098) [41].

Results

Patient value gain

The mean MARINA, early-treatment, $20/40^{-1}$ vision outcome correlated with a 0.789 utility, while late-treatment $20/160^{+2}$ vision correlated with a 0.658 utility (Table 7) [20, 29, 30]. Additionally, 17.9 % of early-treatment, MARINA eyes achieved vision $\geq 20/25$ bilaterally [20], (utility = 0.97 for bilateral 20/20-20/25 and 0.89 for unilateral 20/20-20/25). This conferred a 12-year, additional 0.121 QALY gain for early-treatment, a 2.0 % quality-of-life gain. First-eye therapy conferred 0.0884 QALY gain, a 1.5 % quality-of-life gain.

The 12-year, combined-eye model, QALY accrual for each case of screening-facilitated, early-treatment, ranibizumab therapy was 0.845 QALY, a mean, a 14.1 % quality-of-life gain over sham therapy. Late-treatment accrued 0.250 QALY, a 4.2 % quality-of-life gain over sham-therapy. Thus, early-treatment conferred a, 10.0 %, absolute, quality-of-life gain, a 0.595 QALY gain per early-treatment patient. The QALY gain per genetic screened/monitored patient was 0.0177, a 0.33 % qualityof-life gain. Bilateral good vision QALY gain and first-eye model QALY gain were weighted appropriately

Costs

Direct ophthalmic medical costs for early-treatment vs. late-treatment ranibizumab therapy were assumed the same, thus excluded in the base case analysis, but addressed in the sensitivity analysis.

Assuming one early-treatment case per 30.3 genetically screened cases, the base-case genetic testing/monitoring cost for each early-treatment case was \$66,873 (Table 6).

The incremental negative cost for each early-treatment ranibizumab patient was (-\$227,455) (Table 6). With genetic testing/monitoring costs for Category 3 patients screened of \$66,873 for each incremental early-treatment case, the overall societal cost per early-treatment case was (-\$160,582) (Table 6).

The national, direct ophthalmic cost for genetic testing/monitoring for an annual cohort of 944,400 Category 3 AMD patients million was \$2.082 billion. Total negative costs were \$7.083 billion. This resulted in a 12-year, financial return-on-investment (ROI) of 240 % referent to genetic testing/monitoring costs. An incremental \$260 million societal saving occurred for each 1 % of patients undergoing early-treatment ranibizumab therapy. When genetic testing facilitated an incremental 12,965 (8.0 %) of the 161,754, annual NVAMD patients in the US to undergo early-treatment ranibizumab therapy, an overall, net financial gain for society accrues

Societal costs per NVAMD patient treated with intravitreal ranibizumab therapy	Column A 12-year, societal costs integrating 2nd eye multiplier of 85.7 %	Column B 12-year, societal costs adjusted for 1st and 2nd eye = 48.5 % × 90 % gene testing sensitivity ^a	Column C 12-year, direct medical costs, adjusted as in Column B
Direct ophthalmic medical costs (genetic testing/monitoring of patients)	A. \$66,873 (per incremental early- treatment ranibizumab case)	A. \$66,873 (per incremental early- treatment ranibizumab case)	A. \$66,873 (per incremental early- treatment ranibizumab case)
Genetic screening for Category 3 AMD patients with one ranibi- zumab-facilitated early-treatment case per 30.3 screened cases	\$57,805	\$57,805	\$57,805
1 more ocular (exam/OCT)/year in high-risk genetic cases (22.5 % of Category 3 cases)	\$9068	\$9068	\$9068
Direct non-ophthalmic medical costs [39]	B. (-\$93,699)	B. (—\$40,914)	B. (-\$40,914)
Decreased depression ^b	(-\$7169)	(-\$3130)	(—\$3130)
Decreased injuries ^b	(-\$3793)	(-\$1656)	(-\$1656)
Decreased nursing home admissions ^b	(-\$22,351)	(-\$9759)	(—\$9759)
Less skilled nursing facility (SNF) costs ^b	(-\$10,193)	(-\$4451)	(-\$4451)
Other decreased Medicare costs ^b	(-\$50,194)	(-\$21,917)	(-\$21,917)
Direct non-medical costs (caregiver costs) ^b [40]	(-\$394,929)	(-\$172,443)	NA
Indirect medical costs (employment costs) ^b [41]	(-\$32,288)	(-\$14,098)	NA
Total negative costs	(-\$520,917)	(-\$227,455)	(-\$40,914)
Total costs	(-\$454,044)	(-\$160,582)	\$25,960

Brown et al. Int J Retin Vitr (2015) 1:19

 $^{\circ}$ First eyes: 78 % of first eyes (with initial vision $\leq 20/160$) adjusted for conversion to NVAMD in 2nd eyes \times (85.3 % multiplier); second eyes: 62.2 % with $\leq 20/160$ vision; 90 % = gene testing/clinical sign sensitivity for detecting future NVAMD

^b Negative cost

MARINA Study models					
Cohort [18, 20, 23]	Mean vision at 12, 24, and LOCF to 144 months	Utility at 12, 24, and LOCF to 144 months	12-year QALY accrual	Per early-treatment patient QALY gain (QOL gain) integrating conversion of 2nd eyes to NVAMD without adjustments	Per early-treatment patient QALY gain (QOL gain) (adjusting for 1st eye and 2nd eye, 78.0 % × 62.2 %, with 90 % gene testing sensitivity)
12 months Sham 24 months 96 months (baseline vision = 20/40- 20/80)	20/126 20/160 ⁻² 20/640	0.682 0.657 0.538	5.990	0.0 (0.0 %)	0.0 (0.0 %)
Early ranibizumab treatment (mean baseline vision $= 20/40 - 20/80$)	20/40 ⁻¹	0.789	7.924	1.933 (32.8 %)	0.845 (14.1 %)
Late ranibizumab treatment (mean baseline vision = ≤20/160)	20/160 ⁺²	0.658	6.561	0.571 (9.5 %)	0.250 (4.2 %)
Incremental gain, early vs. late ranibi- zumab treatment patient made possible by genetic testing	NA	0.141	1.363	1.363 (23.3 %)	0.595 (10.0 %)
Cohort	ИА	ИА	12-year QALY accrual	Per screened patient QALY gain (QOL gain) integrating 2nd eye conversion to NVAMD	Per screened patient QALY gain (QOL gain) (adjusting for 1st eye and 2nd eye, 78.0 % × 62.2 %, with 90 % gene testing sensitivity)
Incremental gain, per patient genetic screened/monitored for NVAMD	NA	AN	0.0432	0.0432 (0.71 %)	0.0185 (0.33 %)
LOCF last observation carried forward, QC	01. quality-of-life, QALY quality-adju	isted life-year, NA not applical	ole, NVAMD neovascular	age-related macular degeneration	

Table 7 Patient value gain from genetic screening-enabled, early-treatment ranibizumab therapy for neovascular AMD, integrating first-eye and second-eye MARINA Study models

at the rate of \$160,582 per additional early-treatment patient.

The financial ROI distribution, assuming that genetic testing for 30.3 Category 3 AMD cases resulted in one incremental early-treatment case is shown in Table 8. The negative cost for each patient screened (cost of \$2205) was (-\$7500), an overall societal cost of (-\$5295), also a 240 %, 12-year societal ROI. The ROI offset Medicare screening costs by 35 %, Medicaid costs by 63 % and commercial insurer costs by 22–24 %. Patients had the greatest ROI for out-of-pocket genetic testing costs, a net \$6725. This converted to a 12-year 16,945 % ROI.

Cost-utility ratio (CUR)

\$144,000/QALY For a \$144,000/QALY CUR, the upper limit of cost-effectiveness in the US according to World Health Organization criteria [43], an incremental 4.1 % of annual NVAMD patients were required to undergo genetic testing-enabled, earlytreatment, ranibizumab therapy. This 4.1 % converts to 6634 patients among the 161,754 annual cohort of new NVAMD patients, 92,200 of whom are not identified as high risk to develop NVAMD by phenotypic features alone (Table 9) [1–3, 9]. It also equates to 1 per 142 of the 944,000 Category 3 AMD patients screened at age 65 annually. For a 3rd party insurer CUR of \$144,000/QALY, an increment of 10.1 % of all annual NVAMD patients had to undergo early-treatment for cost-effectiveness (Table 10).

For a \$100,000/QALY CUR, the upper limit of costeffectiveness commonly utilized in the US [22], an incremental 4.5 % of annual NVAMD patients were required to undergo early-treatment, ranibizumab therapy for cost-effectiveness (Table 9). For a 3rd party insurer CUR of \$100,000/QALY, an incremental 13.2 % of all annual NVAMD patients had to undergo early-treatment for cost-effectiveness (Table 10).

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis (Table 11) showed decreasing age made genetic testing considerably less cost-effective (age 40 societal CUR = 1,088,253/QALY) and 3rd party insurer CUR = 231,137/QALY). Deleting extra ophthalmic monitoring costs had negligible effect, while decreasing genetic testing price improved cost-effectiveness. If the cost of ranibizumab therapy for early-treatment cases is twice that of the ranibizumab cost for late-treatment cases, an increment of approximately 6.0 % (9700) of NVAMD cases undergoing early-treatment ranibizumab therapy is required for genetic testing to be cost-effective using WHO criteria.

Discussion

Our analysis demonstrated, using MARINA Trial data [20], that each incremental, early-treatment, ranibizumab case of NVAMD conferred a combined-eye model, 14.1 % quality-of-life improvement, versus a 4.2 % quality-of-life improvement with late-treatment (baseline vision of 20/160–20/320) (Table 7), a 10.0 %, incremental, patient value gain. The net, societal, financial ROI was \$160,582 per early-treatment case, a net \$5295 ROI per patient screened.

While the US has no formal, cost-effectiveness upper limits, interventions costing \leq \$100,000/QALY are generally believed cost-effective [22]. Formal World Health Organization standards indicate interventions costing \leq 3× GDP per capita (~US \$144,000) per DALY (disability-adjusted life-year), a metric similar to the QALY, are cost-effective (Tables 9, 10) [42]. Screening is still costeffective if only 4.1 % overall NVAMD cases, or 7.2 % of cases not forecast phenotypically, receive genetic testingfacilitated early-treatment ranibizumab therapy

The presence of AMD, even with good vision, can decrease a patient's quality-of-life [31]. We believe low-risk/ medium-risk genetic profiles for progression to advanced AMD, likely allay patient fears and improve patient quality-of-life. We are uncertain how a positive, high-risk genetic profile affects patient quality-of-life, but since only 22.5 % of screened patients have a high-risk profile [4], the overall quality-of-life gain in the low-risk and medium-risk genetic profile cohorts could possibly outweigh total quality-of-life loss in the high-risk profile cohort.

Wealth of the nation

The data herein support the work of Nordhaus [43], the Yale economist who estimated 50 % of the wealth of the United States created during the twentieth century occurred from healthcare advances. While secondary to patient value gain, the increase in national wealth associated with medical interventions is an important factor. If 12,965 (8.0 %) of the 62,279 NVAMD cohort patients not predicted phenotypically to develop NVAMD are recruited to early-treatment due to genetic testing, the cost of testing is at a breakeven point. Each patient undergoing early-treatment in addition adds \$160,582 to societal wealth.

When to genetically screen

We selected the age of 65 years as the most cost-effective age for genetic screening since it encompasses 94.4 % of those who develop NVAMD [18]. As sensitivity analysis shows, the earlier genetic testing is performed, the greater the expense of testing, since analyses must account for the time value of money.

•		•	-		
12-year costs (US 2012 real dollars) associated with early-treatment vs. late- treatment ranibizumab therapy	Genetic screening/ monitoring costs expended (%) per patient screened	Negative societal costs returned for genetic costs expended (% = percent of total costs returned)	Net final cost	12-year financial return- on-investment (ROI)	Diminution in usual cost due to costs returned from genetic testing
Overall costs	\$2205 (100 %)	(-\$7500) (100 %)	(-\$5295)	240 %	340 %
Medicare (Medicare pays 80 % of Part B costs)	\$1559 (70.7 %)	(-\$548) (7.3 %)	\$1011	—65 % ^a	35 %
Medicaid	\$84 (3.8 %)	(-\$53) (0.7 %)	\$31	—37 % ^a	63 %
Commercial insurance (Medi-gap policies cover- ing 20 % of approved Medicare cost)	\$348 (15.8 %)	(-\$83) (1.1 %)	\$266	—78 % ^a	22 %
Commercial insurance (under age 65 years)	\$174 (7.9 %)	(-\$53) (0.7 %)	\$122	-76 %	24 %
Patients (NB. Healthcare insurance costs are not included)	\$40 (1.8 %)	(-\$6765) (90.2 %)	(-\$6725)	16,945 %	17,045 %
Note the direct ophthalmic medical costs associated w	vith ranibizumab therapy are the same for t	the early-treatment and late-treatment rani	ibizumab therapy	cohorts, thus not integrated. Costs	with parentheses ()

Σ
J.
Ē
asc
Š
ne
<u>o</u>
Ž
ap
Je L
÷
Jak
n
<u>pi</u>
lin
La
ę
Ð
ne
ee
SC
Ħ
tie
ba
er
٩
nt
Ĕ
eat
Ļ
Ę
eal
٥.
- L
õ
Ē
en
đ
les
Ŀ,
Ę
Ę
In
ret
ar
ye
12
00
e
ab
Ξ.

Note the direct ophthalmic medical costs associated with ranibizumab therapy are the same for the early-treatment indicate negative costs that accrue against the direct ophthalmic medical costs expended for ranibizumab therapy AMD age-related macular degeneration

Brown et al. Int J Retin Vitr (2015) 1:19

Table 9 Societal, cost-utili to neovascular AMD	ty ratios for category 3 [5] a	ge-related macula	r degeneration (AMD)	patients undergoing gen	tic testing to predict	progression
Incremental % of patients undergoing early-treatment ranibizumab therapy due to genetic testing (# of patients)	Genetic testing/moni-toring medical costs ^a (000s)	Negative costs ^a (000 s)	Total costs (direct medical + negative costs (000s)	Overall cost (direct medical + negative costs) per patient tested	QALY gain per patient screened	\$/QALY
1 % (1618)	\$2,082,402	(-\$367,918)	\$1,714,484	\$1815	0.0010	\$1,781,400
2 % (3235)	\$2,082,402	(-\$735,835)	\$1,346,567	\$1426	0.0020	\$699,562
3 % (4853)	\$2,082,402	(-\$1,103,752)	\$978,649	\$1036	0.0031	\$338,949
4 % (6470)	\$2,082,402	(—\$1,471,670)	\$610,732	\$647	0.0041	\$158,642
4.1 % (6634)	\$2,082,402	(-\$1,508,464)	\$573,938	\$605	0.0042	\$144,000
4.5 % (7119)	\$2,082,402	(-\$1,607,802)	\$474,600	\$450	0.0045	\$100,000
5 % (8088)	\$2,082,402	(—\$1,839,588)	\$242,814	\$257	0.0051	\$50,458
10 % (16,175)	\$2,082,402	(—\$3,679,175)	(—\$1,596,774)	(-\$1691)	0.0102	(—\$165,910)
15 % (24,263)	\$2,082,402	(-\$5,518,763)	(-\$3,436,361)	(-\$3639)	0.0153	(-\$238,032)
20% (32,351)	\$2,082,402	(-\$7,358,351)	(-\$5,275,949)	(-\$5587)	0.0204	(—\$274,093)
30 % (48,526)	\$2,082,402	(-\$11,037,527)	(-\$8,955,125)	(-\$9482)	0.0306	(—\$310,155)
34.6 % (56,048)	\$2,082,402	(—\$12,738,343)	(-\$10,665,141)	(—\$11,294)	0.0353	(—\$319,834)
38.5 % (62,275)	\$2,082,402	(—\$14,164,826)	(-\$12,082,424)	(-\$12,794)	0.0392	(-\$326,078)
The societal costs perspective includ costs) + caregiver costs + employm	ed the following costs: (a) direct ophthaln ent costs saved by genetic testing leading	nic medical costs, (b) dire to early-treatment, versu	ct non-ophthalmic medical cost Is late-treatment, of neovascula	s (depression, trauma, skilled nursing r age-related macular degeneration v	facility, nursing home, other M ith intravitreal ranibizumab	edicare
Direct non-ophthalmic medical cost The model is a combined-eye model ranibizumab therapy for neovasculai	s = costs for depression, trauma, skilled ni integrating the patient value gain and co ^ AMD, assuming genetic testing identifies	ursing facilities, nursing n sts associated with first-e s 90 % of cases that will pi	omes and other Medicare costs :ye (78.0 % presenting with visio rogress to neovascular age-relat	n ≤20/160) and second-eye (62.2 % ed macular degeneration	f eyes presenting with 20/20/16	0 vision)
A negative cost-utility ratio () indicat	es that early-treatment dominates late tre	atment, meaning that ea	rly-treatment accrues greater Q	ALYs and has a positive financial retu	n-on-investment	
QALY quality-adjusted life-year, \$/QA	LY cost-utility ratio, or dollars expended/g	ained per QALY gained fr	om genetic testing			
^a Direct ophthalmic medical costs = AMD patients with a high risk geneti	costs associated with genetic screening, i c profile for progression to neovascular ag	including \$1906 for scree je-related macular degen	ning and \$299 for 1 extra annua ieration	al examination and optical coherence	tomography scan for the 22.5 %	of Category 3

Brown et al. Int J Retin Vitr (2015) 1:19

Incremental % of patients undergoing early-treatment ranibizumab therapy due to genetic testing (# of patients)	Genetic testing/ moni-toring medical costs ^a (000s)	Negative costs ^a (000s)	Total costs (direct medical + negative costs (000s)	Overall cost (direct medical + negative costs) per patient tested	QALY gain per patient screened	\$/QALY
1 % (1618)	\$2,082,402	(-\$66,180)	\$2,016,222	\$2135	0.0010	\$2,094,915
2 % (3235)	\$2,082,402	(-\$132,360)	\$1,950,042	\$2065	0.0020	\$1,013,076
3 % (4853)	\$2,082,402	(-\$198,540)	\$1,883,862	\$1995	0.0031	\$652,463
4 % (6479)	\$2,082,402	(-\$264,720)	\$1,817,682	\$1925	0.0041	\$472,156
5 % (8088)	\$2,082,402	(-\$330,900)	\$1,751,502	\$1855	0.0051	\$363,973
10 % (16,175)	\$2,082,402	(-\$661,800)	\$1,420,602	\$1504	0.0102	\$147,605
10.1 % (16,504)	\$2,082,402	(-\$675,036)	\$1,407,366	\$1479	0.0103	\$144,000
13.2 % (21,358)	\$2,082,402	(-\$878,341)	\$1,204,061	\$1350	0.0135	\$100,000
15 % (24,263)	\$2,082,402	(—\$992,700)	\$1,089,702	\$1154	0.0153	\$75,482
20 % (32,351)	\$2,082,402	(-\$1,323,600)	\$758,801	\$803	0.0204	\$39,421
30 % (48,526)	\$2,082,402	(-\$1,985,400)	\$97,001	\$103	0.0306	\$3,360
34.6 % (56,048)	\$2,082,402	(-\$2,293,138)	(-\$210,736)	(-\$223)	0.0353	(—\$6,319)
38.5 % (62,275)	\$2,082,402	(-\$2,547,931)	(—\$465,529)	(-\$493)	0.0392	(-\$12,564)
The societal costs perspective include costs) + caregiver costs + employme	d the following costs: (a) direct o nt costs saved by genetic testing	phthalmic medical costs, (b) leading to early-treatment, v) direct non-ophthalmic medica versus late-treatment, of neova:	l costs (depression, trauma, skilled nurs scular age-related macular degeneratio	ng facility, nursing home, other M. 1 with intravitreal ranibizumab	edicare
Direct non-ophthalmic medical costs	= costs for depression, trauma, sl	killed nursing facilities, nurs	ing homes and other Medicare	costs		
The model is a combined-eye model i ranibizumab therapy for neovascular.	ntegrating the patient value gain AMD, assuming genetic testing id	n and costs associated with f lentifies 90 % of cases that v	first-eye (78.0 % presenting with will progress to neovascular age	ı vision ≤20/160) and second-eye (62.2 ⁹ -related macular degeneration	% of eyes presenting with 20/20/16	0 vision)
			, -	,		

2 2 year, \$/QALY cost-utility ratio, or dollars expended/gained per QALY gained from genetic testing, AMD age-related macular degeneration)

^a Direct ophthalmic medical costs = costs associated with genetic screening, including \$1906 for screening and \$299 for 1 extra annual examination and optical coherence tomography scan for the 22.5 % of Category 3 AMD patients with a high risk genetic profile for progression to neovascular age-related macular degeneration

LA LA
5
_
_
10
~
- 5-
-
>
- E
-
Š
_
- Ti
S
_
· ·
-
A 1
<u> </u>
0
0

Cost perspec- tive	Incremental % of patients undergoing early-treatment ranibizumab therapy due to genetic testing (# of patients)	Genetic testing/moni- toring medical costs ^a (000s)	Negative costs (000s)	Total costs (direct medical + negative costs (000s)	Overall cost (direct medical + negative costs per patient tested	QALY gain per patient	\$/QALY
\$500 cost for gen	etic testing, screening at age 65						
Societal	4.1 % (6,634)	\$754,576	(-\$1,508,464)	(-\$839,978)	(-\$890)	0.0043	(—\$321,506)
3rd party	10.1 % (16,504)	\$754,576	(—\$675,036)	(—\$79,540)	(-\$84)	0.0103	(—\$26,389)
\$1000 cost for gei	netic testing, screening at age 65						
Societal	4.1 % (6634)	\$1,226,776	(-\$1,508,464)	(-\$281,688)	(-\$298)	0.0042	(-\$71,047)
3rd party	10.1 % (16,504)	\$1,226,776	(—\$675,036)	\$551,740	\$584	0.0103	\$56,744
Patient value uncl	hanged, change age of screening froi	m 65 to 60 years					
Societal	4.1 % (6634)	\$2,817,145	(-\$1,508,464)	\$1,312,591	\$1390	0.0042	\$330,075
3rd party	10.1 % (16,504)	\$2,817,145	(-\$675,036)	\$2,142,109	\$2,269	0.0103	\$220,291
Patient value uncl	hanged, change age of screening fror	m 65 to 52 years					
Societal	4.1 % (6634)	\$3,787,988	(-\$1,508,464)	\$2,279,524	\$2415	0.0042	\$574,940
3rd party	10.1 % (16,504)	\$3,787,988	(-\$675,036)	\$3,112,952	\$3,298	0.0103	\$320,167
Patient value uncl	hanged, change age of screening froi	m 65 to 40 year					
Societal	4.1 % (6634)	\$5,823,170	(-\$1,508,464)	\$4.314,706	\$4571	0.0042	\$1,088,253
3rd party	10.1 % (16,504)	\$5,823,170	(—\$675,036)	\$5,148,134	\$5454	0.0103	\$529,469
No extra eye exan	ns and OCT in addition to two annua	l visits, screening at age 65					
Societal	4.1 % (6634)	\$1,800,026	(-\$1,508,464)	\$291,562	\$309	0.0042	\$73,538
3rd party	10.1 % (16,504)	\$1,800,026	(—\$675,036)	\$1,124,990	\$1192	0.0103	\$115,702
Four extra eye exa	ams and OCT's in addition to two ann	ual visits, screening at age 6	55				
Societal	4.1 % (6634)	\$3,211,904	(—\$1,508,464)	\$1,703,440	\$1804	0.0042	\$429,641
3rd party	10.1 % (16,504)	\$3,211,904	(—\$675,036)	\$2,536,868	\$2687	0.0103	\$250,909
Early-treatment ra	inibizumab cases receive twice as ma	any injections over the first 2	! years of therapy than late	treatment casers, screen	ing at age 65		
Societal	4.1 % (6634)	\$2,667,392	(-\$1,508,464)	\$1,072,038	\$1136	0.0042	\$270,591
3rd party	10.1 % (16,504)	\$2,667,392	(—\$675,036)	\$1,992,356	\$2111	0.0103	\$204,907
Cost-utility (cost-ef.	fectiveness) ratios for Category 3 AMD p	atients screened for neovascula	ar AMD resulting in incremer	ıtal, early-treatment ranibizı	umab therapy (2012 US real dollar	s, combined-eye model	[18–20, 22])
A negative cost-util	lity ratio (parentheses) indicates that earl	y-treatment dominates late tre	eatment, meaning that early-	treatment accrues greater C	ALYs and has a positive financial	return-on-investment	
NVAMD neovascula for cost-effectivene	ır Age-related macular degeneration, QAı .ss, WHO World Health Organization uppı	LY quality-adjusted life-year, \$/(er limit for cost-effectiveness ==	QALY cost-utility ratio, or doll = $3 imes$ Gross Domestic Produci	lars expended/gained per Q t per capita, AMD age-relate	ALY gained from genetic testing, d macular degeneration, <i>3rd part</i>)	US United States inform / third party insurer cost	al upper limit : perspective,
integrating all incre	mental direct medical costs associated w	vith genetic screening for neov	'ascular AMD, <i>Societal</i> societa	al cost perspective, includin	g all direct ophthalmic medical, d	irect non-ophthalmic m	edical, direct

^a Costs associated with genetic screening, =\$1906 for screening for each Category 3 AMD patient and \$299 for 1 extra annual examination and optical coherence tomography scan for the 22 Category 3 AMD patients with a high risk genetic profile non-medical (caregiver) and indirect medical (employment) costs

Patients with NVAMD may not come in promptly due to numerous reasons, including: (1) unawareness of NVAMD symptoms [44], (2) not realizing vision is decreased in one eye, (3) denial, (4) absence of pain, (5) believing refraction or cataract is their problem, (6) cognitive difficulties and (7) others. In non-ophthalmic specialties, well-defined follow-up plans [45], good relationships with healthcare providers [46], and focused programs [46] result in greater patient adherence. While we cannot be certain, we are hopeful that high-risk phenotypic/genotypic profile patients will be especially aware to present promptly when they develop NVAMD symptoms.

To our knowledge, convincing data that show more frequent ophthalmic screening allows earlier NVAMD treatment are lacking. Nonetheless, we included the extra costs of screening herein to be conservative in our economic analysis.

Some might argue genetic testing of Category 3 AMD cases is of no benefit over phenotypic progression parameters. While the case for Category 4 atrophic AMD centrally or unilateral NVAMD, phenotypic progression parameters for Category 3 AMD are less reliable [6, 47]. Furthermore, only a small increment (4.1 %) in genetic testing-enabled, early-treatment, ranibizumab therapy cases is necessary for screening cost-effectiveness. As genetic testing likely increases in accuracy and decreases in price, the patient and financial value gains will be more pronounced. Though the study was performed in US dollars, WHO criteria are very similar for many developed countries globally [48].

Conclusions

In summary, genetic screening for NVAMD is costeffective by the WHO standard of \$144,000/QALY if it facilitates early-treatment with ranibizumab for an incremental 4.1 % of annual NVAMD cases. If genetic testing allows earlier ranibizumab therapy for an incremental one NVAMD case per 142 Category 3 AMD patients screened, it remains cost-effective by WHO standards. Using the often accepted US upper limit of cost-effectiveness of \$100,000/QALY, genetic testing for NVAMD is cost-effective if it facilitates an incremental 4.5 % of annual NVAMD cases to undergo early-treatment ranibizumab therapy. This information can be used by clinicians to decide whether genetic testing for neovascular age-related macular degeneration is appropriate for their patients.

Abbreviations

AMD: age-related macular degeneration; NVAMD: neovascular age-related macular degeneration; AREDS: Age Related Eye Diseases Study; QALY:

quality-adjusted life-year; \$QALY: dollars expended per quality-adjusted lifeyear gained; VBM: Value-Based Medicine; CUR: cost-utility ratio.

Authors' contributions

GB, MB, HL, PL and KB participated in the conceptualization of the study, the design of the study, and performance of the analyses. GB and MMB wrote the manuscript with help from HL, PL and KB. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Center for Value-Based Medicine[®], 6010 West Mill Road, Flourtown, PA 19031, USA^{. 2} The Eye Research Institute, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ³ The Retina Service, Wills Eye Hospital, Jefferson Medical University, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ⁴ The Research Department, Wills Eye Hospital, Jefferson Medical University, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

Acknowledgements

None.

Compliance with ethical guidelines

Competing interests

Supported in part by a Grant from ArcticDx, Inc., Toronto, ON, Canada. The sponsor played no part in design of the study, performance of the study, interpretation of the data, writing of the manuscript, or requiring direction of the study. Drs. G. Brown and M. Brown are shareholders in the Center for Value-Based Medicine[®].

Received: 1 July 2015 Accepted: 16 August 2015 Published online: 26 October 2015

References

- National Institutes of Health. NIH MedlinePlus Leading causes of blindness. 2012. http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/magazine/issues/summer08/articles/summer08pg14-15.html. Accessed 7 Jan 2012.
- The Eye Diseases Prevalence Research Group. Prevalence of agerelated macular degeneration in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol. 2004;122:564–72.
- US Census Bureau. Population estimates. Table 1. Monthly Population Estimates for the United States: April 1, 2010 to September 1, 2012. http:// www.census.gov/popest/data/national/totals/2011/index.html. Accessed 16 Oct 2012.
- Yu Y, Reynolds R, Rosner B, Daly MJ, Seddon JM. Prospective assessment of genetic effects on progression to different stages of age-related macular degeneration using multistate markov models. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012;53(3):1548–56 (Epub 2012/01/17).
- Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group. A randomized, placebocontrolled, clinical trial of high-dose supplementation with vitamins C and E, beta-carotene, and zinc for age-related macular degeneration and vision loss: AREDS Report No. 8. Arch Ophthalmol. 2001;119:1417–36.
- Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group. The Age-Related Eye Disease Study severity scale. AREDS Report No. 17. Arch Ophthalmol. 2005;123:1484–98.
- Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group. A simplified severity scale for age-related macular degeneration. AREDS Report No. 18. Arch Ophthalmol. 2005;123:1570–4.
- Wang JJ, Rochtchina E, Lee AJ, et al. Ten-year incidence and progression of age-related maculopathy. The Blue Mountains Eye Study. Ophthalmology. 2007;114:92–8.
- Maller J, George S, Purcell S, et al. Common variation in three genes, including a noncoding variant in CFH, strongly influences risk of agerelated macular degeneration. Nat Genet. 2006;38:1055–9.
- Chen Y, Zeng J, Zhao C, Wang K, Trood E, Buehler J, et al. Assessing susceptibility to age-related macular degeneration with genetic markers and environmental factors. Arch Ophthalmol. 2011;129(3):344–51 (Epub 2011/03/16).

- Grassmann F, Fritsche LG, Keilhauer CN, Heid IM, Weber BH. Modelling the genetic risk in age-related macular degeneration. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e37979 (Epub 2012/06/06).
- Seddon JM, Reynolds R, Maller J, et al. Prediction model for prevalence and incidence of advanced age-related macular degeneration based on genetic, demographic, and environmental variables. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009;50(5):2044–53 (Epub 2009/01/02).
- Chen W, Stambolian D, Edwards AO, Branham KE, Othman M, Jakobsdottir J, et al. Genetic variants near TIMP3 and high-density lipoprotein-associated loci influence susceptibility to age-related macular degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(16):7401–6 (Epub 2010/04/14).
- Neale BM, Fagerness J, Reynolds R, Sobrin L, Parker M, Raychaudhuri S, et al. Genome-wide association study of advanced age-related macular degeneration identifies a role of the hepatic lipase gene (LIPC). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(16):7395–400 (Epub 2010/04/14).
- Yu Y, Reynolds R, Rosner B, et al. Association of varians in the LIPC and ABCA1 genes with intermediate and large drusen and advanced age-related macular degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011;52:4663–70.
- Seddon JM, Reynolds R, Yu Y, et al. Risk models for progression to advanced age-related macular degeneration using demographic, environmental, genetic, and ocular factors. Ophthalmology. 2011;118:2203– 11 (Epub 2011 Sep 29).
- Zanke B, Hawken S, Carter R, Chow D. A genetic approach to stratification of risk for age-related macular degeneration. Can J Ophthalmol. 2010;45:22–7.
- Rosenfeld PJ, Brown DM, Heier JS, for the MARINA Study Group, et al. Ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. N Eng J Med. 2006;355:1419–31.
- Brown DM, Kaiser PK, Michels M, for the ANCHOR Study Group, et al. Ranibizumab versus verteporfin for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. N Engl J Med. 2006;355:1432–44.
- Boyer DS, Antoszyk AN, Awh CC, for the MARINA Study Group, et al. Subgroup analysis of the MARINA study of ranibizumab in neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology. 2007;114:246–52.
- Brown GC. Advances in quality-of-life and the financial independence of patients. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2012;23:161–2.
- Brown MM, Brown GC, Sharma S. Evidence-based to value-based medicine. Chicago: AMA Press; 2005. p. 151–265.
- 23. Brown GC, Brown MM, Brown H, Peet JS. A value-based medicine analysis of ranibizumab (MARINA Study) for the treatment of subfoveal neovascular macular degeneration. Ophthalmology. 2008;115:1039–45.
- Brown MM, Brown GC, Brown HC, Irwin B, Roth Z. Comparative effectiveness and cost-effectiveness analyses of VEGF-A inhibitor and ⁹⁰Sr brachytherapy for neovascular macular degeneration. Evid Based Ophthalmol. 2009;10:107–22.
- Shah AP, Del Priore LV. Progressive visual loss in subfoveal exudation in age-related macular degeneration: a meta-analysis using Lineweaver– Burke plots. Am J Ophthalmol. 2007;143:83–9.
- Klein R, Klein BEK, Jensen SC, Meuer SM. The five-year incidence and progression of age-related maculopathy. The Beaver Dam Eye Study. Ophthalmology. 1997;104:7–21.
- Brown GC, Sharma S, Brown MM, Kistler J. Utility values associated with age-related macular degeneration. Arch Ophthalmol. 2000;118:47–51.
- Brown MM, Brown GC, Sharma S, et al. Quality of life with visual acuity loss from diabetic retinopathy and age-related macular degeneration. Arch Ophthalmol. 2002;120:481–4.
- Brown GC. Vision and quality of life. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 1999;97:473–512.
- 30. Brown MM, Brown GC, Sharma S, et al. Utility values associated with blindness in an adult population. Br J Ophthalmol. 2001;85:327–31.
- Stein JD, Brown MM, Brown GC, Sharma S, Hollands H. Quality of life with macular degeneration. Perceptions of patients, clinicians and community members. Br J Ophthalmol. 2003;87:8–12.

- 32. Sharma S, Brown GC, Brown MM, Hollands H, Robbins R, Shah G. Validity of the time trade-off and standard gamble methods of utility assessment in retinal patients. Br J Ophthalmol. 2002;86:493–6.
- Hollands H, Lam M, Pater J, et al. Reliability of the time trade-off technique of utility assessment in patients with retinal disease. Can J Ophthalmol. 2001;36:202–9.
- Real FJ, Brown GC, Brown HC, Brown MM. The effect of comorbidities upon ocular and systemic health-related quality of life. Br J Ophthalmol. 2008;92:770–4 (Epub 2008 Apr 17).
- Brown MM, Brown GC, Sharma S, Brown H, Busbee B. Quality-of-life associated with unilateral and bilateral good vision. Ophthalmology. 2001;108:643–7.
- Brown GC, Brown MM, Brown H, Kindermann S, Sharma S. The goal of value-based medicine analyses: comparability. The case for neovascular macular degeneration. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 2007;105:160–9.
- Brown MM, Brown GC, Lieske HB, Lieske PA. Preference-based comparative effectiveness and cost-effectiveness: a review and relevance of Value-Based Medicine for vitreoretinal interventions. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2012;23(3):163–74 (Epub ahead of print).
- Barbazetto IA, Saroj N, Shapiro H, Wong P, Ho AC, Freund KB. Incidence of new choroidal neovascularization in fellow eyes of patients treated in the MARINA and ANCHOR trials. Am J Ophthalmol. 2010;149:939.e1–946.e1 (Epub 2010 Apr 8).
- Javitt JC, Zhou Z, Willke RJ. Association between visual loss and higher medical care costs in Medicare beneficiaries. Ophthalmology. 2007;114:238–45.
- Schmier JK, Halpern MT, Covert D, Delgado J, Sharma S. Impact of visual impairment on use of care giving by individuals with age-related macular degeneration. RETINA. 2006;26:1056–62.
- Steinmetz E. Household economic studies. Current Population Reports. Americans with Disabilities: 2002, U.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistics Administration. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau; 2006. p. 1–33.
- The World Health Organization. The World Health Report 2002—reducing risks, promoting healthy life. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2002. p. 47–96.
- Nordhaus WD. The health of nations: the contribution of improved health to living standards. Working paper 881. Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research; 2002. p. 37–8.
- Brown GC, Murphy R. Visual symptoms associated with choroidal neovascularization. Photopsias and the Charles Bonnet Syndrome. Arch Ophthalmol. 1992;110:1251–6.
- Schrijvers LH, Schuurmans MJ, Fischer K. Barriers and motivators of adherence to prophylactic treatment in haemophilia: a systematic review. Heamophilia. 2013;. doi:10.1111/hae12079 (Epub ahead of print).
- 46. Cherlin EJ, Curry LA, Thompson JW, et al. Features of high quality discharge planning for patients following acute myocardial infarction. J Gen Intern Med. 2013;28:436–43.
- Ioannidis JP, Yu Y, Seddon JM. Correction of phenotype misclassification based on high-discrimination genetic predictive risk models. Epidemiology. 2012;23:902–9. doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e31826c3129.
- Central Intelligence Agency. The World Factbook. 2015. https://www.cia. gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/rankorder/2004rank.html. Accessed 31 June 2015.
- 49. Arias E. United States Life Tables, 2007. Nat Vital Stat Rep. 2011;59(9):1-60.
- Macular Photocoagulation Study Group. Laser photocoagulation of subfoveal neovascular lesions in age-related macular degeneration. Arch Ophthalmol. 1991;109:1220–31.
- 51. Treatment of Age-Related Macular Degeneration with Photodynamic Therapy (TAP) Study Group. Photodynamic therapy of subfoveal choroidal neovascularization in age-related macular degeneration with verteporfin: two-year results of 2 randomized clinical trials—TAP Report 2. Arch Ophthalmol. 2001;119:198